

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

COPY MAILED

SEP 0 2 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 7,523,485

Philip Kwan

DECISION DISMISSING

Application No. 10/631,366

REQUEST FOR

Issue Date: April 21, 2009

RECONSIDERATION OF

Filed: July 31, 2003

PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Tited: July 31, 2003

UNDER 37 CFR 1.705

Attorney Docket No. 019959-001510US

. UNDER 37 CFR 1.7

This is in response to the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT DETERMINATION, filed on March 26, 2009, which is treated as an application for patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.705(d). Patentee requests that the determination of patent term adjustment be corrected from six hundred seventy-two (672) days to one thousand one hundred three (1103) days.

The request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment is **DISMISSED** with respect to making any change in the patent term adjustment determination under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) of 672 days.

BACKGROUND

This application was filed on July 13, 2003. On January 13, 2009, the Office mailed a notice that the initial determination of patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) to date is 672 $\rm days^1$. On April 21, 2009, the application matured into U.S.

¹855 days of Office delay was reduced by 183 days of applicant delay for a patent term adjustment of 672 days. No request for reconsideration of this initial determination was filed.

Patent No. 7,461,008, with a revised patent term adjustment of 672 days. The Office determined that the 617 days of Office delay pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR $1.702(b)^{2,3}$ overlaps with the 855 days of Office delay pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR $1.702(a)(1)^{4,5}$ accorded prior to the filing of the request for continued examination. As such, the Office allowed only entry of the adjustment of 855 days. No additional days of patent term adjustment were entered at issuance under the three-year pendency provision. Given the applicant delay of 183 days, the patent issued with a revised patent term adjustment of 672 (855 - 183) days.

On March 26, 2009, patentee timely submitted this request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment (with required fee),

 $^{^2}$ Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 37 CFR 1.702(b) provides, in pertinent part, that:

Failure to issue a patent within three years of the actual filing date of the application. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, but not including:

⁽i) any time consumed by continued examination of the application requested by the applicant under section 132(b).

 $^{^3}$ As of the filing of the RCE on April 8, 2008, the application was pending three years and 617 days.

⁴ 37 CFR § 1.702, provides grounds for adjustment of patent term due to examination delay under the Patent Term Guarantee Act of 1999 (original applications, other than designs, filed on or after May 29, 2000).

⁽a) Failure to take certain actions within specified time frames. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to:

⁽¹⁾ Mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371 in an international application;

⁵ A first Office action was not mailed until February 2, 2007, fourteen months and 855 days after the application filing date, July 31, 2003.

asserting that the correct number of days of Patent Term Adjustment is 1103 days under the court's interpretation of the overlap provision as set forth in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008). Patentee asserts that pursuant to Wyeth, a PTO delay under \$154(b)(1)(A) overlaps with a delay under \$154(b)(1)(B) only if the delays "occur on the same day." Patentee maintains that the total non-overlapping PTO delay under \$154(b)(1)(A) & (B) is 1286 (855 + 617 - 186 overlap) days as these periods do not occur on the same day. Further, given the applicant delay of 183 days, patentee asserts entitlement to 1103 (1286 - 183) days of patent term adjustment.

OPINION

Patentee's interpretation of the period of overlap has been considered, but found inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of the overlap provision, 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

to the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in Explanation of 37 CFR $1.703(f)^7$ and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent

⁶ Patentee discloses an overlapping period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the filing of the application, July 31, 2006, and ending on the date of the mailing of the first Office action, February 2, 2007, or 186 days. It is noted that applicant mistakenly refers an overlapping period of "July 31, 2006 to February 2, 2002." However, it is obvious that February 2, 2007, rather than February 2, 2002, the date the first office action was mailed, is the correct date. Patentee's argument has been articulated accordingly.

Likewise, 37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in \$1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule, 65 Fed. Req. 54366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004). See also Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004).

Further, as stated in the Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), the Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application (or, in an international application, the date that the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f), is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

This interpretation is consistent with the statute. Taken together the statute and rule provide that to the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1) and in corresponding §1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the period during which the application was pending before the Office beginning on the application filing date, July 31, 2003, and ending on the date of filing of a request for continued examination (RCE), April 8, 2008 (not including any other periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)). The relevant period ends with the filing of the RCE as the three-year time frame specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) does not include the period subsequent to the filing of the RCE. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i).

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), 855 days of patent term adjustment were accorded during the pendency of the application for Office delay prior to the filing of the request for continued examination. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b), 617 days of patent term adjustment accrued for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application.

The 617 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlap with the 855 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(a). Entry of both the 855 days and the 617 days is neither permitted nor warranted. 855 days is the actual number of days issuance of the patent was delayed.

Accordingly, at issuance, the Office properly entered no additional days of adjustment pursuant to § 1.702(b) for a total of the greater period of 855 days for Office delay.

CONCLUSION

In view thereof, the Office affirms that the revised determination of patent term adjustment at the time of the issuance of the patent is 672 (855 - 183) days.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood, at (571) 272-3231.

Christina Partua Donnell for

Anthony Knight Supervisor Office of Petitions